

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 CLARENCE D. JOHNSON, JR.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 AMAZON, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15

Case No. C21-496-RSM

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

16 This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte* and on the Court's prior Order to Show
17 Cause, Dkt. #7. Pro se Plaintiff, Clarence D. Johnson, Jr., has been granted leave to proceed in
18 forma pauperis in this matter. Dkt. #6. Summonses have not yet been issued.

19
20 Plaintiff brings this action against multiple defendants, including Amazon, Larry Page,
21 Mark Zuckerberg, the City of Chicago, and Craigslist Owners. Dkt. #1. The complaint also
22 references Biden, Obama, Trump, and the United Nations. *Id.* at 4.

23
24 The Court will dismiss a complaint at any time if the action fails to state a claim, raises
25 frivolous or malicious claims, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from
26 such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).
27
28

1 On April 30, 2021, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause highlighting deficiencies in
2 Plaintiff's complaint and ordering Plaintiff to submit a Response within twenty-one days
3 indicating why this case should not be dismissed. Dkt. #7. The order specifically cited the scant
4 information provided in his complaint, including "prisoner property seizure" under the statement
5 of claim and Plaintiff's vague reference to "international law, federal law" without further
6 information on the legal basis for his claims or the relief sought. *Id.* at 2. The order also noted
7 that Plaintiff's civil cover sheet selects multiple boxes under nature of the lawsuit, making it
8 impossible for the Court to decipher the factual or legal basis for this lawsuit.
9

10 The Court ordered Plaintiff to respond with "a short and plain statement telling the Court:
11 (1) the laws or statutes upon which his claims are based; (2) exactly what facts support each of
12 the alleged violations of law; and (3) what specific injury Plaintiff suffered because of each
13 alleged violation of law." *Id.* The Court warned that Plaintiff's Complaint suffers from
14 deficiencies that, if not adequately addressed, would require dismissal. *Id.* (citing 28 U.S.C. §
15 1915(e)(2)(B)). Furthermore, it warned that failure to file a response to the order to show cause
16 would require dismissal of this case. *Id.*
17
18

19 As of the date of this Order, Plaintiff has failed to file any response to the Court's order
20 to show cause. Accordingly, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff's claims are
21 DISMISSED. This matter is CLOSED.
22

23
24 DATED this 27th day of May, 2021.

25
26 

27 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
28 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE